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Introduction

Access to quality health care is a key element of “The Good Life” we live in Nebraska because it helps keep our state’s residents working and thriving.

For many Nebraskans, Medicaid and the Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP) are essential in getting the care they need. Medicaid and CHIP enable about 225,000 Nebraskans to receive health care coverage with 93 percent of Medicaid and CHIP funding in Nebraska going to children, the elderly and people with disabilities.

Among the many services covered by Medicaid and CHIP are dental care and regular medical checkups for low-income children, quality nursing and end-of-life care for seniors and support services for Nebraskans with developmental disabilities. Medicaid and CHIP enable about 225,000 Nebraskans to receive health care coverage, with 93 percent of Medicaid and CHIP funding in Nebraska going to children, the elderly and people with disabilities. Furthermore, were it not for Medicaid and CHIP, many Nebraska health care professionals would have to cut back on the services they provide and in many cases would not be able to expand their services to help many Nebraskans get the health care they need.

Medicaid and CHIP also play a major role in our state budget and our fiscal debate. Nearly 40 percent of the state general fund budget – or more than $1.5 billion – supports health and human services with nearly 20 percent – or nearly $807 million – of the general fund budget being dedicated to Medicaid and CHIP. Medicaid and CHIP are the second largest item in the state’s general fund budget following only state support for K-12 education funding.

This primer is designed to provide a detailed explanation of Medicaid and CHIP funding in Nebraska and to illustrate how this funding interacts with other parts of the state budget as well.

Chapters 1 and 2 describe the Medicaid and CHIP programs and the eligibility requirements. Chapters 3, 4 and 5 examine the services that are provided under Medicaid and CHIP, how the programs are funded and efforts that have been undertaken to improve the programs’ cost effectiveness. Chapter 6 examines some of the other public policy issues that have been linked to Medicaid and CHIP in Nebraska and Chapter 7 provides an overall evaluation of Medicaid and CHIP in Nebraska.

It is our hope that this primer will help policymakers and others gain a deeper understanding of these programs, which in turn will help state leaders formulate policies that truly improve the lives of all Nebraskans.
The Medicaid program was signed into law in 1965 and implemented in Nebraska a year later. Prior to the development of Medicaid, states received limited payments to provide health care services for individuals and families who received public assistance. In 1960, states began receiving open-ended matching payments from the federal government to pay for the care of low-income seniors, but there were still significant differences among states in the level of services provided to low-income Americans.

Medicaid was designed to eliminate these disparities among states while expanding federal support for health care services for low-income individuals and families who did not otherwise have access to mainstream healthcare. The federal government covers at least half of the program’s costs for participating states and sets certain mandates relating to eligibility, coverage and program administration. States are responsible for administration of the program and have some flexibility in tailoring the program to their needs.

Since 1997, the Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP) has provided health insurance for low-income children whose family income exceeds the Medicaid eligibility threshold. CHIP is administered by states in accordance with federal requirements. Funding is provided as a block grant, so states get a set amount of federal funding that must be matched with state dollars. It is up to the states to structure their CHIP programs.

Both federal and state governments determine Medicaid policy, as they fund the program jointly. This primer will explore how these entities have intersected to produce Nebraska’s Medicaid and CHIP programs. Because these programs have a sizeable impact on Nebraska’s state budget, special attention will be given to the program’s design and financing and to policy concerns related to state Medicaid spending.

**How Medicaid and CHIP Impact Nebraska**

- Medicaid and CHIP account for 19.2% of Nebraska’s FY 18-19 General Fund appropriations;
- Medicaid enrollees are more likely to receive preventive care, which has long-term, positive effects on health outcomes;
- Medicaid and CHIP enrollment and spending fluctuate with the economy—when economic conditions are good, enrollment falls, and when the economy takes a turn for the worse, Medicaid and CHIP enrollment rise;
• Medicaid and CHIP improve health outcomes for thousands of Nebraskans—over 150,000 children, 22,000 caretakers, 35,000 blind and disabled individuals and 18,000 seniors receive Medicaid or CHIP coverage;7
• most individuals enrolled in Medicaid or CHIP are children, the least costly population to cover through these programs;8
• on average, one year of a child’s health care coverage through Medicaid or CHIP costs under $4,000;9
• Medicaid and CHIP are flexible-waiver programs that allow the state to tailor the programs to Nebraska’s unique needs;
• nearly 70,000 health care providers across the state offer services to Medicaid and CHIP enrollees.10

2 Id.
3 Id.
4 OpenSky analysis of data obtained from the Legislative Fiscal Office.
7 Data received directly from Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (NE DHHS), November 2017.
8 Data received directly from NE DHHS, November 2017.
9 Id.
10 Id.
Chapter 2: Eligibility and Enrollment

Medicaid has limits on who may benefit, what benefits may be offered, and how long those benefits may last. While the federal government mandates some of these limitations, states nonetheless have broad discretion over all three areas and so Medicaid and CHIP programs differ greatly from state to state. This chapter will discuss Medicaid in Nebraska and choices made by the state in structuring the program.

Determining Eligibility

Medicaid is a means-tested program, so most individuals must meet some form of financial requirement before receiving benefits. However, eligibility is more complicated than simply meeting a certain income limit: individuals must also fall into a Medicaid-eligible category, each of which has its own eligibility requirements.

Specifically, states must cover children, the elderly, the blind and disabled, and adult caretakers up to a certain income, which is determined by the Modified Adjusted Gross Income, or MAGI, method. Implemented by the Affordable Care Act (ACA), MAGI uses federal tax rules and information to calculate an individual’s income, which is then compared to federal poverty standards. This was intended to streamline enrollment processes and ease coordination of eligibility across programs. The MAGI method doesn’t have a resource limit, which means it doesn’t take into account cash or any property that could be sold for cash, such as a home or vehicle.

Some groups can bypass the MAGI process altogether because their eligibility is tied to their enrollment in another program. This is the case for the elderly and disabled, whose Medicaid eligibility is generally tied to their enrollment in Supplemental Security Income (SSI).

Outside of emergency services, Medicaid beneficiaries must also be residents of the state in which they live and be either United States citizens or qualified non-citizens, such as lawful permanent residents.

Individuals who don’t fall into any of the federal mandatory or optional categories aren’t eligible for federal funds. They may, however, be eligible for state funds if their state has chosen to expand coverage beyond the scope of the federal program. States are allowed to expand their coverage to any category of people but won’t necessarily receive additional federal funds. Without the promise of federal funds, states are unlikely to expand their coverage too broadly.

The rest of this section will discuss in more detail the specific categories of individuals who are eligible for Medicaid and CHIP in Nebraska. Categories that will become eligible under Medicaid expansion won’t be included here until implementation.

Supplemental Security Income (SSI) is a federal income supplement program funded by general tax revenues (not Social Security taxes) that:

- helps aged, blind and disabled people who have little or no income; and
- provides cash to meet basic needs for food, clothing and shelter.
Children

In Nebraska, children under 19 are eligible for Medicaid and CHIP if their family income is below 213% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL), or $43,495 for a family of three in 2017. Because coverage under each program is identical, with enrollment depending on age and income, families may not know in which program their children are enrolled. The distinction is important to the state, however, because CHIP has a higher federal reimbursement rate that varies by year and by state. CHIP reimbursements have historically ranged from 65 to 81%, compared to 50 to 73% for children in Medicaid. 

Children fall under Medicaid if they are 19 or under and have family incomes at or below 133% of the FPL, or $27,159 for a family of three in 2017. States can expand this coverage by increasing income thresholds or by establishing a separate CHIP program. Nebraska uses a combination of the two (see below for state income thresholds).

Each program is subject to slightly different rules (see Figure 1 for income limits by age and program).

**Medicaid** – Children under age one are eligible if their family income is 162% of the FPL or less; children from ages one to five are eligible if their family income is 145% of the FPL or less; children ages six to 18 are eligible if their family income is 133% of the FPL or less.

**CHIP Eligibility** – Children under age 19 whose family income exceeds the Medicaid income limits for their age group are eligible if their family income is 213% of the FPL or less.

**Separate CHIP Program** – Women who don’t meet Medicaid criteria are eligible for coverage of prenatal and delivery services for unborn children if their family income is 197% of the FPL or less.

---

**Figure 1: Medicaid and CHIP Income Limits by Child Age**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Medicaid Limit</th>
<th>CHIP Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newborn to One</td>
<td>162%</td>
<td>213%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two to Five</td>
<td>145%</td>
<td>213%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six to Eighteen</td>
<td>133%</td>
<td>213%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2017 NE DHHS Report

As of January 2017, 28 states and the District of Columbia cover children from families with incomes higher than those Nebraska covers. Of Nebraska’s six neighboring states, only two (South Dakota and Wyoming) have lower income thresholds for covering children through Medicaid and CHIP (as seen in Figure 2); Iowa, Missouri, Colorado and Kansas all cover children through Medicaid and CHIP at higher income levels than Nebraska. Nationally, the median upper-income limit for coverage through Medicaid and CHIP is 255% of the FPL.
Children in foster care can become eligible for Medicaid through the federal Title IV-E Foster Care Program, which helps states fund certain foster care and adoption programs. Eligibility, however, is tied to a federal program that hasn’t existed since 1996 and income limits haven’t been adjusted for inflation since then, so Nebraska can only receive federal funds if a child is taken into care from a family making less than $673 a month. Because of this low income threshold, eligibility has been declining. Any Title IV-E eligible children who age out of the foster care system will be eligible for Medicaid coverage up to age 26.

Nebraska doesn’t cover children in state adoption assistance programs who don’t meet Title IV-E standards; instead, those in the child welfare system are eligible for Medicaid based on family incomes or their own income if they’re expected to be in out-of-home placement for more than 90 days.

A child 16 or older in a state-subsidized adoption or guardianship will be covered until they’re 21 if they have incomes up to 23% of the FPL, or $2,774 for an individual in 2017, and meet the requirements of the state’s Bridge to Independence program, which helps transition children out of the foster system and into adulthood. Former wards whose incomes are at or below 51% of the FPL, or $6,151 for an individual in 2017, are also eligible.

Children with serious medical needs can receive Medicaid coverage, regardless of income, through what is known as the Katie Beckett program. It applies to children who use a ventilator; have a tracheostomy; require excessive amounts of medical supplies, equipment or therapies; or need complex nursing services provided at home. All but one state offers coverage through this or a similar program.

**Individuals with Disabilities**

**SSI Eligible** – Nebraska, like most other states, provides Medicaid services to any individual under 65 who receives SSI and meets the federal definition of “blind” or “disabled.” The federal government considers a person “blind” if their vision can’t be corrected to better than 20/200 in their better eye or if the better eye’s visual field is expected to be 20 degrees or less for over a year. A person is “disabled” if, due to physical and/or mental impairments, they can’t expect to
participate in substantial gainful activity for over a year. While SSI eligibility is limited to those earning up to approximately 73% of the FPL, Nebraska has expanded coverage to those earning up to 100% of the FPL.

**Workers with Disabilities** – Nebraska has expanded coverage for those with disabilities who would be eligible for Medicaid but for their earnings, allowing them to receive Medicaid if their incomes are up to 250% of the FPL. Disabled workers who earn up to 200% of the FPL or less are eligible and won’t have to pay a premium; those who earn between 200% and 250% of the FPL are eligible but will have to pay a premium.

**The Elderly**

Individuals 65 and older are eligible for Medicare, the federal health care program for the elderly. However, Medicare, like private insurance, requires significant cost-sharing through co-insurance, co-pays, deductibles and more – which vary by state and by program – so low-income elderly individuals often still struggle to afford health care. As such, some elderly people may also be eligible for full Medicaid benefits or help with Medicare’s cost-sharing provisions.

**Fully Dual Eligible** – Elderly people who qualify for SSI are also eligible for full Medicaid benefits. Nebraska has expanded coverage up to 100% of the FPL for those whose resources don’t exceed the limits prescribed for SSI eligibility and covers the elderly through Medicaid instead of Medicare.

**Medicare Savings Program** – Elderly people with incomes too high to qualify for full Medicaid eligibility – more than 100% of the FPL – may still qualify for help with some of the cost-sharing provisions of Medicare through the Medicare Savings Program. Nebraska uses two of four available assistance programs:

- **Specified Low-income Medicare Beneficiary (SLMB)** – This program is available to elderly individuals with incomes between 100% and 120% of the FPL. Resource limits are $4,000 per individual and $6,000 per married couple. For SLMB individuals, the state must help with premiums for Medicare Part B, which covers medically necessary and preventive services, such as ambulance services, durable medical equipment and mental health services.

- **Qualifying Individual** – This program is available to elderly individuals with incomes between 120% and 135% of the FPL. Offered in Nebraska, it helps qualified individuals with their Medicare Part B premiums.

**Other Adults**

**Low-Income Caretakers** – The federal government requires that caretakers of children be eligible for Medicaid if they meet the income limits for the Aid to Families with Dependent Children program, as it existed in 1996, which is about 39.5% of the FPL, or $8,066 for a family of three in 2017. Nebraska has expanded eligibility to incomes up to 63% of the FPL, or $12,865 for a family of three in 2017, ranking it 37th out of the 50 states plus Washington, D.C. in Medicaid broadness for caretakers. As such, only 14 states have stricter requirements for caretaker enrollment in Medicaid.

**Pregnant Women** – States must cover pregnant women with incomes up to 133% of the FPL for up to 60 days after the child’s birth. Nebraska has expanded its coverage to incomes up to 194% of the FPL and uses a program called 599 CHIP to cover prenatal and delivery services for unborn children of undocumented mothers up to 197% of the FPL. Nebraska has higher income eligibility thresholds for pregnant women than 19 other states.
Other Categories of Eligibility

**Transitional Medical Assistance** – Caretakers can also access Medicaid for a limited time to help them transition off cash assistance if they lose coverage due to an increase in income.62 Eligibility can last six months regardless of income and an additional six months if income is at or below 185% of the FPL.63 Families with incomes at or above 100% of the FPL must pay a premium.64

**Medically Needy** – Nebraska lets some individuals with high medical expenses, including the elderly and disabled, deduct those expenses from their income – effectively spending it down – for the purpose of determining Medicaid eligibility.65 66

**Coverage for Specific Ailments and Services** – Nebraska has expanded coverage to women with incomes up to 225% of the FPL who are screened through a Centers for Disease Control program and diagnosed with breast or cervical cancer.67 Incomes are determined by the screening program’s criteria and not MAGI.68 States may also cover tuberculosis treatments and family planning services up to certain income levels, although Nebraska does not.69

**Qualified Non-Citizens** – States must provide Medicaid coverage to refugees, persons seeking political asylum and other individuals involved in humanitarian crises upon entrance into the United States.70 Nebraska has expanded its Medicaid program to include certain qualified immigrants, such as legal permanent residents, if they otherwise meet eligibility requirements and have been in the United States for at least five years.71 Some groups of immigrants, including pregnant women and children, are exempt from this waiting period.72

**Emergency Services for Immigrants** – States must provide emergency medical services for immigrants not eligible for Medicaid if they would have otherwise qualified but for their immigration status.73

Who Enrolls?

Medicaid and CHIP enrollment in Nebraska averaged 238,855 people a month, or approximately 12.5% of the state’s population, from January 2014 through July 2017.74 75 Medicaid enrollment in the state has been stable over the last decade for each of the major populations served as a proportion of total enrollment.

Children and blind and disabled enrollees consistently make up around 65% and 15% of enrollees, respectively. Elderly enrollees averaged around 8% of total enrollees between SFY 2006 and SFY 2016. Caretaker enrollment varies between 9.7% and 13.5% of total enrollees.

Different from a state fiscal year (SFY), which begins on July 1 and ends the following June 30, a federal fiscal year (FFY) begins with the third quarter, or October 1, and ends at the end of the second quarter of the next calendar year, or September 30. Because state and federal fiscal years do not directly line up, this means the percentage reimbursement the state receives from the federal government may not be the same throughout the whole fiscal year.
The chart to the left details the breakdown of enrollment in both Medicaid and CHIP for each of the major Medicaid enrollee populations in SFY 2016. For more specific enrollment breakdowns for SFY 2016 and a comparison of SFYs 2006, 2011 and 2016, see Appendix A.

Figure 4 shows average monthly Medicaid enrollment in Nebraska by each of the major enrollee groups between SFY 2006 and SFY 2016. Total enrollment trends tend to mirror those of child enrollment, as children comprise a majority of Medicaid and CHIP enrollees in the state, and non-disabled, non-senior adult enrollees only qualify if they’re both a qualified caretaker and meet income requirements.

**CHIP Enrollment**

Total annual CHIP enrollment grew from 44,981 in SFY 2006 to 52,852 in SFY 2011 and again to 55,041 in SFY 2016, resulting in an average annual CHIP enrollment of 52,278.16

---

12 Id.
13 Id.
15 Id.
18 Id.
22 Id.
23 Id.
26 Id.
27 Id.
33 NE DHHS, “Bridge to Independence Program”, http://dhhs.ne.gov/children_family_services/BridgeToIndependence/Pages/Program.aspx (accessed September 13, 2018).
41 The method for determining countable income for SSI differs significantly from the MAGI method used for determining Medicaid eligibility for other categories of individual. Significant amounts of earned income can be excluded, so an individual’s gross income can exceed these limits and still qualify. OASDI and SSI program rates and limits. SSA, “Research, Statistics, & Policy Analysis,” https://www.ssa.gov/policy/docs/quickfacts/prog_highlights/index.html (accessed September 13, 2018).
43 Id.
46 Id.


51 Id.


54 “Caretaker” is used throughout this report as an all-inclusive category for individuals who are primary caregivers for children.


60 Id.


64 Id.


68 Id.

69 Other qualified immigrants include: victims of trafficking or domestic violence, Haitian and Cuban immigrants, Iraqi and Afghan immigrants granted special immigrant status, certain Amerasian immigrants, immigrants and their families who served on active duty for at least 24 months in the armed forces, certain American Indians born outside of the U.S. and immigrants for whom deportation has been deferred. 477 NAC 5-001.

70 Id.

71 Id.

72 Other qualified immigrants include: victims of trafficking or domestic violence, Haitian and Cuban immigrants, Iraqi and Afghan immigrants granted special immigrant status, certain Amerasian immigrants, immigrants and their families who served on active duty for at least 24 months in the armed forces, certain American Indians born outside of the U.S. and immigrants for whom deportation has been deferred. 477 NAC 5-001.


74 Kaiser Family Foundation, “Total Monthly Medicaid and CHIP Enrollment,” https://www.kff.org/health-reform/state-indicator/total-monthly-medicaid-and-chip-enrollment/?currentTimeframe=0&selectedRows=%7B%22states%22%3A%7B%22nebraska%22%7D%7D%7D&sortModel=%7B%22colId%22%3A%22Location%22%2C%22sort%22%3A%22asc%22%7D (accessed September 13, 2018).


76 Kaiser Family Foundation State Health Facts, “Total Number of Children Ever Enrolled in CHIP Annually,” https://www.kff.org/other/state-indicator/annual-chip-enrollment/?currentTimeframe=0&sortModel=%7B%22colId%22%3A%22Location%22%2C%22sort%22%3A%22asc%22%7D (accessed September 17, 2018).
Chapter 3: Services Provided by Medicaid

The federal government requires all states to cover certain services, while other services are optional. When implementing its program in 1966, Nebraska chose to cover all services designated as optional by the federal government. Below is a listing of the various services – both mandatory and optional – that Nebraska Medicaid recipients can use within certain guidelines.

**Mandatory Services**
- Inpatient and outpatient hospital services
- Laboratory and x-ray services
- Nursing facility services
- Home health services
- Nursing services
- Clinic services
- Physician services
- Medical and surgical services of a dentist
- Nurse practitioner services
- Nurse midwife services
- Pregnancy-related services
- Medical supplies
- Early and periodic screening and diagnostic treatment services for children
- Family planning services (cannot be used for abortion services)

**Optional Services**
- Prescribed drugs
- Intermediate care facilities for the developmentally disabled
- Home and community-based services for elderly persons and persons with disabilities
- Dental care
- Rehabilitation services
- Personal care
- Durable medical equipment
- Medical transportation services
- Vision-related services
- Speech therapy
- Physical therapy
- Chiropractic services
- Occupational therapy
- Optometric services
- Podiatric services
- Hospice
- Mental health and substance use disorder services
- Hearing screening for newborns and infants
- School-based administrative services

While services must be generally equivalent for all eligible individuals and available statewide, states have broad flexibility in determining both the duration and scope of coverage for most services.
Medicaid waivers let states forgo some otherwise mandatory Medicaid requirements. This can give states the flexibility to test programs that promote the objectives of Medicaid and CHIP, such as expanding eligibility requirements, providing services not typically covered and experimenting with service delivery systems. States apply to the federal government to waive general Medicaid program requirements.

Most of Nebraska’s waiver programs are designed to provide home-based alternatives to institutional care for those with long-term needs. These Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS), or 1915(c) waivers, are operated by the Department of Health and Human Services’ Division of Home and Community Services and include waivers for the elderly and disabled, children with developmental disabilities and their families, those suffering from traumatic brain injuries, adults with intellectual or developmental disabilities who need community support and day services and children and families affected by autism.

States can operate as many HCBS waiver programs as they want, although each must demonstrate it’s at least as cost-effective as institutional treatment, ensure people’s health and welfare are protected, “provide adequate and reasonable provider standards” and follow individualized care plans.

Nebraska has also adopted a 1915(b) waiver in order to bypass Medicaid’s freedom of choice requirement, which allows enrollees to choose their medical providers, in order to mandate that some enrollees enter a managed care program. This will be discussed more fully later.
Federal-State Funding Partnership

Medicaid is the payer of last resort, so states must ensure that any Medicaid-eligible treatments are billed to all other insurers or providers before Medicaid.\(^8^6\)

Medicaid is funded through a federal-state partnership in which Nebraska’s Federal Medical Assistance Percentage, or FMAP, determines the federal government’s share of the costs.\(^8^7\) For example, Nebraska’s FMAP was 51.85% in Federal Fiscal Year 2017 (FFY 2017), which means the federal government reimbursed the state 51.85% of the costs of most Medicaid beneficiaries’ services during that period, leaving the state responsible for the other 48.15%.\(^8^8\)

Each state’s FMAP differs based on the state’s economic well-being relative to the national average.\(^8^9\) The formula is intended to direct more federal assistance to states experiencing economic difficulties that may struggle to fund higher rates of enrollment from their own revenues.

States with per capita incomes below the national average will have higher FMAPs and pay a lower share of their Medicaid costs. An FMAP must be between 50% and 83% – meaning a state will be reimbursed at least half its costs per Medicaid beneficiary, regardless of its economic situation, although those struggling the most will still pay at least 17%.\(^9^0\)

Figure 5 shows Nebraska’s FMAP over time. It was raised twice temporarily in the 2000s to help with increased Medicaid enrollment stemming from an economic downturn.\(^9^1\)

**Figure 5: Nebraska’s FMAP, Federal Fiscal Years 2004-2018**

Source: Kaiser Family Foundation\(^9^2\)
Nebraska’s FMAP has been only slightly above the minimum FMAP in recent years thanks to a relatively stable economy, moving from 51.85% in FFY 2017 to a projected 52.55% in FFY 2018. The historical average since FFY 2004 has been 57.94%.93

In FFY 2016, Nebraska was reimbursed at a rate lower than 47 other states (51.3%), paying the third highest state share of costs (47.8%).94 This was due in part to the state’s decision not to expand Medicaid under the Affordable Care Act. The expansion would have been reimbursed at 100% by the federal government through 2016 – a much higher rate than reimbursement determined through the FMAP formula. In 2018, Nebraska elected to expand Medicaid under the Affordable Care Act. This expansion will be reimbursed at 90%, a higher rate than the reimbursement determined through the FMAP formula. Had Nebraska elected to expand Medicaid prior to 2016, this expansion would have been funded at 100%.

The Health Care Cash Fund (HCCF) is another source of funding for Medicaid in the state. Established in 1998, the HCCF was paid for initially by interest earned on the Tobacco Settlement Cash Fund, which consists of any settlement payments or other revenue obtained from state lawsuits against tobacco companies, and the Health Care Trust Fund, which consists of funds obtained through an intergovernmental transfer process by which the state can recapture a part of the federal Medicaid payment to publicly owned nursing homes.95 The HCCF funds a program that awards competitive grants for a variety of public health projects and partially funds the General Fund match for CHIP.96

Passage of legislation in 2001 changed the sources and beneficiaries of the HCCF, requiring $50 million be transferred from a combination of the Tobacco Settlement Trust Fund and the Medicaid Intergovernmental Fund at the outset of every fiscal year with the Legislature deciding how much to spend and which health care programs to fund.97 The Medicaid Intergovernmental Fund is made up of excess federal funds drawn down for nursing facilities that were reimbursed at a lower rate than the federal rate.98 The loophole that allowed states to collect such funds expired in 2005, but the fund still earns interest and had a balance of $25.9 million as of June 30, 2018.99 The Legislature allocated $62.1 million to the HCCF for SFY 2018.100

Historical Program Spending

Medicaid spending is driven by utilization and enrollment, so it can’t operate from a fixed budget. Accordingly, appropriations are made to Medicaid based on projected costs. General Fund appropriations for Medicaid grew an average of 5.9% from SFY 1998 to SFY 2019. General Fund appropriations for Medicaid declined in the late 2000s, reaching a low point in 2010. That decline can be attributed to Nebraska’s time-limited higher FMAP under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA).101
CHIP uses an enhanced FMAP that's determined generally by increasing the federal share of reimbursement for Medicaid by about 15%. The ACA bumped it up another 23% through the end of FFY 2018, so Nebraska’s enhanced FMAP is 89.79% through mid-2019.

State CHIP funding began in 1998 with a one-time transfer of $25 million from the HCCF. When that funding ran out in SFY 2004, CHIP started to get an annual $5 million transfer from the HCCF with the remainder coming from the General Fund, which was only $25,456 the first year.

General Fund appropriations for CHIP reached an all-time high in SFY 2015 at $27,560,027 but then declined after the ACA expanded the federal match rate from 68% to 91%.

**Program Expenditures Differ From Appropriations**

While total appropriations have increased over time, actual expenditures as a fraction of personal income – and thus as a share of the economy – have remained steady.

Figure 6 shows Nebraska’s Medicaid expenditures by each of its major enrollee groups from SFY 2006 to SFY 2016. For a table that shows exact figures for SFY 2006, 2011 and 2016, see Appendix B.

![Figure 6: Annual Nebraska Medicaid Expenditures by Major Population expressed as a fraction of NE Personal Income, SFY 2006-SFY 2016](image)

Source: Spending data received directly from DHHS, November 2017; Nebraska personal income data are from the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

The Legislative Fiscal Office recommends that appropriations exceed projected expenditures by about one month of funding beyond projected costs to account for unpaid bills due for payment after the end of the fiscal year. Because the program guarantees benefits to those who qualify, it must be funded and any gaps must be filled as they arise. A special session was called in 1992 to address such a gap.

If any appropriations go unspent in a given fiscal year, they will be available for later years and can be used by the Legislature to fund other programs, as happened during the 2016 Legislative session. At the end of SFY 2015, $138 million of Medicaid appropriations had gone unspent, so $84 million was recaptured to fill a budget shortfall.
Cost-sharing

Medicaid in Nebraska is funded partially through co-payments and premiums paid by some groups of enrollees, which range from $1 to $15 for covered services. Groups including children, pregnant women, inpatients in hospitals and long-term care facilities who spend a majority of their income on medical costs, individuals in alternate care, individuals who receive waiver services, individuals who spend down excess income on medical care and individuals who receive disability assistance are exempt from most, but not necessarily all, out-of-pocket expenses.108

In SFY 2011, 54,780 enrollees paid $2,306,048.73 in co-pays, including 7,838 elderly enrollees, 21,826 blind or disabled enrollees, 23,702 adult caretaker enrollees and 1,414 children.109

In SFY 2016, there were fewer enrollees paying co-pays – 39,321 – but they paid almost as much as those in SFY 2011 at $2,286,018.50.110 This included 981 elderly enrollees, 7,861 blind or disabled enrollees, 21,952 adult caretaker enrollees and 8,525 children.111

Some Medicaid enrollees also pay premiums, with the amount varying year-to-year. In 2006, enrollees paid a total of $53,590 in premiums, which grew to $82,590 in 2011 and then declined slightly in 2016 to $81,922.112 Individual enrollees in Medicaid’s Insurance for Workers with Disabilities program paid between $41 and $336 a month in 2018, depending on income, and enrollees in the Transitional Medical Assistance program paid between $30 and $191 a month in 2018, depending on income and family size.113

Spending and Enrollment by Enrollee Group

As shown in Figures 7 and 8, children represented 67% of Medicaid enrollees in 2016 but accounted for only 27% of spending. Conversely, blind and disabled enrollees represented 15% of the total but accounted for 45% of spending.

Nebraska has relatively low per capita Medicaid spending levels across all enrollee groups compared to other states. In FFY 2011, the most recent year for which comparable spending data is available, Nebraska spent an average of $5,777 per enrollee, while the national average was $6,502.114

Broken down by major eligibility category for FFY 2011, Nebraska had the 10th lowest spending per capita on children, the 13th lowest spending per capita on elderly enrollees, the 24th lowest spending per capita on disabled enrollees and the 22nd lowest spending per capita on adult enrollees.115 The state’s per capita spending also grew comparatively slowly from FFY 2000 to FFY 2011: it was 12th lowest nationally for children, 6th lowest for disabled enrollees and 3rd lowest for elderly enrollees.116
Over time, an increasingly large portion of total program spending goes toward managed care categories as NE DHHS shifts enrollees over. Behavioral health managed care capitation payments went from 4.6% to 6.4% of all Medicaid and CHIP vendor payments between SFY 2006 and SFY 2016; similarly, physical health managed care capitation payments went from 5% to 29.2% of all vendor payments in this time frame. The growth in managed care use is also responsible for a decline in inpatient hospital expenditures as a percentage of total program spending, according to DHHS.

The decline in nursing facility expenditures corresponds with increased spending over time in waiver services, which are used to provide care in less restrictive settings. For a detailed breakdown of expenditures by type of service, see Appendix C.

**Care for Aging Nebraskans**

Long-term care services provide elderly and disabled individuals with support for chronic or ongoing health concerns. These services are an area of focus for state policymakers due to their cost and projections showing an increasingly large population of aging Nebraskans.

Attempts at alleviating costs associated with long-term care date back to 1997, when the state began developing alternatives to nursing home care that would also provide more choice in care.
A state policy shift shortly thereafter required anyone seeking care at a nursing home to be evaluated for care through a less expensive type of provider, such as assisted living, respite care or adult day care. The Legislature designated $54 million to convert excess nursing home space into assisted living and also harmonized payments for the two lowest acuity levels of nursing home care with the rates paid for assisted living, thereby pushing patients with fewer needs toward non-institutional facilities.

As a result, spending on nursing facilities fell as a fraction of all long-term care vendor payments from 52% in SFY 2006 to 40% in SFY 2016. For a complete listing of Medicaid expenditures on long-term care services in SFY 2006, SFY 2011 and SFY 2016, see Appendix D.

**Miscellaneous Payments**

Medicaid pays Medicare Part B premiums for low-income elderly individuals who qualify for both Medicare and Medicaid. The amount paid on those premiums rose 11.8% from SFY 2016 to SFY 2017, going from $45,768,262 to $51,885,724.

Medicaid also makes Medicare Part D clawback payments to the federal government to cover the state’s share of prescription drugs for dually eligible enrollees. Medicaid spending on these payments rose 7.3% from SFY 2015 to SFY 2016, going from $51,028,410 to $54,776,185.

Disproportionate share hospitals (DSH) receive Medicaid payments to offset costs they incur treating a relatively large share of Medicaid enrollees and uninsured individuals. Of Nebraska’s 99 hospitals, 29% are DSH hospitals. Of Nebraska’s 4,848 hospital beds, 66% are DSH hospital beds.

Federal funding for DSH payments is capped annually per state and per individual hospital. The hospital-specific limit prevents federal funds from being used for state DSH payments that exceed the cost of treating Medicaid and uninsured patients, less any payments made by or on behalf of those patients. These payments rose 5.7% from SFY 2015 to SFY 2016, going from $42,519,315 to $44,980,223. They had grown 62.6% from SFY 2006 to SFY 2011, going from $22,200,000 to $36,100,000.

Drug rebates aren’t costs incurred by Medicaid but are instead payments from drug manufacturers that help offset the state’s prescription drugs costs for Medicaid enrollees. The Medicaid Drug Rebate Program (MDRP) involves agreements between Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), state Medicaid agencies and participating drug manufacturers that help offset federal and state costs associated with outpatient prescription drugs dispensed to Medicaid patients. Between SFY 2006 and SFY 2011, drug rebates to Nebraska Medicaid rose slightly from $72.2 million to $73.7 million but jumped significantly in SFY 2016 to $106.9 million.

---
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Chapter 5: Efforts to Improve Cost-effectiveness of Medicaid for the State of Nebraska

Medicaid Reform Council

Nebraska created the Medicaid Reform Advisory Council in 2005 to address concerns over the large number of rural Nebraskans relying on Medicaid coverage and the funding structure’s sustainability, given the high cost of covering the disabled and elderly and projections showing the elderly population increasing 75% between 2005 and 2030.\textsuperscript{135,136} Made up of Nebraskans in the health care industry, consumer advocates and elected officials chosen by the Governor, the Council met monthly to review Medicaid reform plans in the state and make recommendations to the Governor and Legislature.\textsuperscript{137} Its aims were to control spending growth, ensure flexibility in benefit allocation, set program priorities and create alternatives to Medicaid coverage.\textsuperscript{138}

Some policies endorsed by the Council have shaped the current Medicaid program significantly, including implementation of a Preferred Drug List to control prescription drug costs,\textsuperscript{139} expansion of home and community based services\textsuperscript{140} and the placement of a majority of enrollees in managed care.\textsuperscript{141} The Council was repealed in 2017.\textsuperscript{142}

Compared to actual spending by major population enrolled in Medicaid in SFY 2016, spending per enrollee adjusted for inflation is less than it was in SFY 2005 for seniors, disabled individuals and children. In SFY 2005, average annual spending per enrollee by major population was $19,956 for seniors, $19,788 for disabled individuals, less than $12,000 for blind individuals, $4,404 for adult caretakers and $2,820 for children.\textsuperscript{143} Adjusted for inflation as of 2016, the same amount of spending would be $24,792.32 for seniors, $24,583.61 for disabled individuals, less than $14,908.19 for blind individuals, $5,471.31 for adult caretakers and $3,503.42 for children.\textsuperscript{144} (See extended cost per enrollee discussion starting on p. 20.) These figures also show that spending growth during the early 2000s hasn’t continued. Medicaid spending for blind and disabled individuals and children grew from 2000 to 2005\textsuperscript{145} but, when adjusted for inflation, decreased from FY 2005 to FY 2016. In the two decades preceding October 2005, average annual growth in Medicaid expenditures was about 11%, according to the Council;\textsuperscript{146} however, average annual growth in Medicaid expenditures from SFY 2006 to SFY 2016 was 3.75%.\textsuperscript{147}

Adoption of Managed Care

Over the past 20 years, Medicaid in Nebraska has transitioned from being administered on a fee-for-service (FFS) basis to a managed care system.\textsuperscript{148}

In an FFS system, a state department pays Medicaid providers for each covered service provided. Under a managed care system, the state contracts with private companies to manage the provision of those covered services, with Medicaid paying a monthly actuarially-determined amount for each enrollee to cover service and administration costs.\textsuperscript{149} The providers are reimbursed by the private company for services provided at a rate determined independently of DHHS.\textsuperscript{150}
Transitioning from an FFS system to managed care has reduced costs for some states in the long run by encouraging preventive or primary care and managing patients’ chronic conditions to prevent costly hospitalizations.\textsuperscript{151}

Nebraska’s roll-out of managed care, which involves four private companies,\textsuperscript{152} has met with some challenges, including unpaid claims that prompted some providers to reduce the number of Medicaid clients they see or reduce the level of services provided to Medicaid clients.\textsuperscript{153} The managed care companies now meet with the Legislature’s Health and Human Services Committee quarterly.

**Maximizing Federal Funding for Medicaid**

States can maximize federal funds in a number of ways, including through the collection of provider taxes, which are levied evenly on all health care providers in a given category, such as Intermediate Care Facilities for Individuals with Developmental Disabilities (ICF/MR). The Medicaid-related portion of the cost is refunded to the care facilities, and 60% of it is reimbursed by federal funding. Altogether, provider taxes raise $3.5 million in revenue for Nebraska each year.\textsuperscript{154}

States can also use certified public expenditures (CPE),\textsuperscript{155} which use alternative government funding through a non-Medicaid agency to meet state matching requirements for Medicaid. The non-Medicaid agencies incur a Medicaid-related expense and provide the funds for the required state match. Medicaid then factors the expense into federal claims and transfers the federal matching share to the certifying entity, thereby bypassing state funding for the expense. This has been used to fund items such as city or county owned nursing facilities serving Medicaid residents and Medicaid services provided in public schools.

Nebraska also hasn’t implemented a family planning state plan amendment, which would use federal dollars to provide family planning services to low-income adults who don’t otherwise qualify for Medicaid.\textsuperscript{156} Over half of states have such programs, and studies have shown them to be both cost-effective and to have a positive impact on health.\textsuperscript{157}
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Chapter 6: Other Policy Issues Related to Medicaid

ACCESSNebraska

ACCESSNebraska is an online portal operated by NE DHHS through which Nebraskans can apply for Medicaid. After a problematic rollout in 2009 and a series of failed fixes, a 2014 Special Investigative Committee made a number of recommendations to prevent lapses in coverage caused by long call wait times and missing paperwork.

The Committee’s first recommendations included updates to the website, which used technology from the early- to mid-1990s, and the hiring of additional staff. By 2015, there were substantial improvements in call wait times, application processing time and employee morale. The Committee then recommended continued funding to update ACCESSNebraska’s technology, improving staff competence and continued oversight. In 2016, the Committee returned oversight duties to NE DHHS and the Legislature’s standing HHS Committee.

As of July 2017, NE DHHS is implementing a plan to maintain and improve ACCESSNebraska’s performance, ensuring eligible Nebraskans can access benefits quickly. The agency also is working to implement NTRAC (Nebraska Timely Responsive Accurate Customer Service), a new Medicaid eligibility and enrollment system, in order to comply with federal regulation changes created by the ACA.

Provider Reimbursement Rates

Care providers for Nebraska’s Medicaid enrollees are reimbursed at different rates depending on the type of provider and changes to those reimbursement rates can impact the state budget greatly. Reimbursement rates have been a challenge for providers, causing some to close or even take out a line of credit in order to keep providing services in instances where payments lagged.

Provider rates were the focus of a major legislative battle in 2017. A 3% reimbursement reduction for physicians, hospitals and durable medical goods was included in the SFY 2018-19 biennial budget, but all other reimbursement rates were left unchanged. The governor, however, vetoed this part of the budget, which resulted in a cut equivalent to reimbursement rates being reduced 3% across the board, NE DHHS later determined it was able to make cuts in other areas to maintain provider rates at their current level.

Recent History of Provider Rates in Nebraska

SFY 2012—Provider rates increased 1.54%.

SFY 2013 and SFY 2014—Provider rates increased up to 2.25%, capped at 100% of Medicare rates as of January of that year.

SFY 2015, SFY 2016 and SFY 2017—Provider rates increased up to 2.25%, capped at 100% of Medicare rates for behavioral health, nursing homes, assisted living and ICF-DD providers. Other Medicaid provider rates increased up to 2%, capped at 100% of Medicare rates.

SFY 2018 and SFY 2019—Provider rates static.
Provider Shortage

Nebraska, like many predominantly rural states,¹⁷¹ is experiencing a shortage of medical professionals in some areas, as shown in Figure 13. See Appendix E for a listing of Nebraska counties’ federal shortage designations as of January 9, 2017.

Medically Underserved Areas (MUAs) are geographic areas with a shortage of primary care providers, whereas Medically Underserved Populations (MUPs) are sub-groups of a geographic area’s population that face barriers to receiving care based on economic, cultural or linguistic factors.¹⁷²

Figure 9: Federal Primary Care Shortage Status by County

[Image of map showing federal primary care shortage status by county]


Rural areas are generally where the provider shortage is most pronounced, as those areas have both slightly more poverty (12.2%), on average, than urban areas (11%) and more aging Nebraskans.¹⁷⁴ This population is highly concentrated in rural parts of the state: although Nebraska’s 80 rural counties have only 35% of the state population, they contain more than half of adults over the age of 85.¹⁷⁵

DHHS doesn’t disclose Medicaid and CHIP enrollment by county, but these statistics point to a greater proportional need for Medicaid and CHIP providers in rural regions of the state. The University of Nebraska Medical Center’s Center for Health Policy issued several recommendations in 2018 for sustaining rural access to care. They include expansion of existing programs to incentivize health care professionals to practice in rural areas of the state, increased investment in technologies that support telehealth services, annual reporting on the state’s healthcare workforce and regular forecasting of changes to demographics and care needs.¹⁷⁶

Several of Nebraska’s counties have seen growth in Medicaid providers between SFY 2011 and SFY 2016 but most have seen a decline. See Appendix F for a listing of counties that have experienced a shift in the number of Medicaid providers from SFY 2011 to SFY 2016 and Appendix G for a listing of provider types that have experienced a shift in number from SFY 2011 to SFY 2016.
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University of Nebraska-Omaha Center for Public Affairs Research, Nebraska State Data Center 28th Annual Data Users Conference, August 16, 2017.

Chapter 7: Evaluation

Given the considerable portion of state and federal budgets that go toward Medicaid and CHIP, it is reasonable to worry about costs growing to an unsustainable level and taking funds from other policy priorities, such as K-12 education. While these concerns warrant close monitoring of health care assistance spending, it is important to note that these programs are working toward the same objectives as many other government financed initiatives.

Medicaid and CHIP support the health of low-income children and, in doing so, they support better outcomes for those children when they grow up. Enrollment in these programs increases the probability that a child will pursue higher education and, therefore, increases future earning potential – goals that are shared by our K-12 education system.177

**Political Controversies**

*Possible Medicaid Changes at the Federal Level* – At the federal level, there have been policy discussions about funding Medicaid through block grants or instituting caps on per capita spending.178

Proponents say either policy offers states more flexibility to manage their Medicaid programs according to the needs of the state; the drawback, however, is that block grant or per capita amounts are set in advance and can’t be adjusted for increased costs.179 States may, therefore, be faced with covering any cost overruns themselves or cutting services.180

The use of block grants or per capita spending caps could also result in fewer federal Medicaid dollars going to states, preventing them from responding to rising health costs, enrollment increases due to recession or a public health crisis.

Nebraska’s relatively low per capita spending puts the state at heightened risk of becoming locked into low spending levels in the wake of a federal policy shift.181 This could result in more uninsured Nebraskans and disproportionately harm seniors and individuals with disabilities, who account for most of Nebraska’s Medicaid spending, if the Legislature doesn’t respond by raising additional revenue or making cuts in other areas of the state budget.182

*Medicaid Expansion* – The Medicaid expansion program was introduced by the Affordable Care Act. If a state elects to participate in Medicaid expansion, the pool of individuals eligible for Medicaid increases to include low-income individuals up to 138% of the FPL. Currently, 36 states and the District of Columbia have opted into Medicaid expansion, including three that opted into expansion in November 2018 elections: Nebraska, Idaho and Utah. The federal government paid for all state Medicaid expansion costs for 2014, 2015 and 2016, although the federal reimbursement rate phases down between 2017 and 2020 to reach a 90% reimbursement rate going forward. Nebraska will receive the 90% reimbursement rate for its expansion.

The Legislative Fiscal Office has run estimates based on enrollment beginning in SFY 2020 and anticipates 89,223 new enrollees by SFY 2023 at a cost to the state of $41,434,116, according to the Legislative Fiscal Office.183

Medicaid expansion has benefited participating states in several ways, including reducing uncompensated care costs for providers, lowering health care expenses for low-income families, increasing state revenue while also producing budget savings and improving overall economic growth.
Prenatal Care for Undocumented Immigrants – In 2012, the Nebraska Legislature voted to override the veto of a bill providing access to prenatal care for undocumented immigrants. Nebraska, along with 15 other states, had provided these services through the Medicaid program until a 2010 change at the federal level.

Nebraska’s choice to keep providing these services through an alternative state-run program was controversial because many viewed it as a step toward establishing Nebraska as a sanctuary for undocumented immigrants. Leading up to the vote, advocates of preserving access to these services argued that neglecting to do so could result in children of immigrants who become U.S. citizens upon their birth in this country having more health problems and thus being more costly to the state in the long-run. Since 2012, Nebraska has used CHIP funding to run the program that provides prenatal services to undocumented immigrant mothers (see pregnant women section in eligibility chapter).
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Conclusion

A healthy populace is essential to a thriving state. To succeed in our homes, our workplaces, our schools and our communities, Nebraskans must have access to outstanding health care. But ensuring they have that access is a complicated task for policymakers, who must balance the state’s health care needs with other priorities like education and public safety.

Nebraska presently faces many important challenges in terms of providing health care for its residents. For example, in recent years, as state revenues have lagged, lawmakers have had to make difficult decisions affecting funding for needed health services. Those decisions have had consequences for both the Nebraskans receiving the services and those providing them.

Nebraska also faces a shortage of healthcare workers, particularly in rural parts of the state, which means many residents may be going without needed care.

Even greater challenges loom. Our population is aging, which means the need for health care services in Nebraska will increase significantly as more residents move into retirement age. Meanwhile, a decreasing number of working-age Nebraskans will be paying the taxes needed to generate the revenue to pay for this growing demand.

In the face of these challenges, lawmakers are, and will continue to be, confronted with difficult but important questions. Will taxes need to increase to generate revenue to meet the growing demand for health care? Will other changes to the state’s revenue system be needed as well, or will vital state services, such as education and public safety, need to be cut so more funding can be redirected to support health care?

Having a firm understanding of how Medicaid is funded in Nebraska and who benefits from these services is essential for state leaders tasked with answering these important questions. Helping policymakers and other state leaders gain that understanding is the focus of this primer. It is our hope that this document will prove to be a powerful tool to forge policies that lead to health and prosperity for all Nebraskans for many years to come.
## Appendix A: Medicaid Enrollment by Specific Enrollee Category SFY 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count of Clients - SFY 2016 (Unique Count Throughout Year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>599 CHIP</td>
<td>2,151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aged</td>
<td>21,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blind or Disabled</td>
<td>40,893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIP</td>
<td>52,542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services for Aliens</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former Foster Care</td>
<td>811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former Ward/IMD</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katie Beckett</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under One</td>
<td>24,489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kids 1 to 5</td>
<td>56,266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kids 6 to 18</td>
<td>93,670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid Insurance for Workers with Disabilities</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medically Needy/Other</td>
<td>2,336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare Buy-In</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent/Caretaker</td>
<td>32,558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant Women</td>
<td>13,149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidized Adoption/Guardianship Assistance</td>
<td>5,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional</td>
<td>32,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women with Cancer</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This is a unique count of enrollees by category and not the average monthly rates, as enrollees are often in multiple categories throughout the year. Data received directly from DHHS, November 2017.

### Enrollment group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enrollment group</th>
<th>SFY 2006 NE Enrollees (% of total)</th>
<th>SFY 2011 NE Enrollees (% of total)</th>
<th>SFY 2016 NE Enrollees (% of total)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aid to Dependent Children and Adults (Caretakers)</td>
<td>23,556 (11.7%)</td>
<td>31,723 (13.5%)</td>
<td>22,513 (9.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elderly</td>
<td>18,370 (9.2%)</td>
<td>17,783 (7.6%)</td>
<td>18,083 (7.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blind &amp; Disabled</td>
<td>29,682 (14.8%)</td>
<td>34,708 (14.7%)</td>
<td>35,937 (15.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>129,062 (64.3%)</td>
<td>151,140 (64.2%)</td>
<td>156,262 (67.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Medicaid enrollee population</th>
<th>SFY 2006 total spending</th>
<th>SFY 2006 per enrollee spending</th>
<th>SFY 2011 total spending</th>
<th>SFY 2011 per enrollee spending</th>
<th>SFY 2016 total spending</th>
<th>SFY 2016 per enrollee spending</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elderly</td>
<td>$356,223,258</td>
<td>$19,392</td>
<td>$337,748,436</td>
<td>$18,993</td>
<td>$406,105,922</td>
<td>$22,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled</td>
<td>$580,589,583</td>
<td>$19,560</td>
<td>$664,473,101</td>
<td>$19,145</td>
<td>$888,535,550</td>
<td>$24,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>$102,043,747</td>
<td>$4,332</td>
<td>$175,196,070</td>
<td>$5,523</td>
<td>$140,737,144</td>
<td>$6,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>$392,051,029</td>
<td>$3,038</td>
<td>$398,429,575</td>
<td>$2,636</td>
<td>$531,482,950</td>
<td>$3,401</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data received directly from DHHS, November 2017
## Appendix C: Medicaid and CHIP Expenditures by Type of Service – SFY 2006, SFY 2011, and SFY 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Total Spending in SFY 2006 (% of All Vendor Payments)¹⁹¹</th>
<th>Total Spending in SFY 2011 (% of All Vendor Payments)¹⁹²</th>
<th>Total Spending in SFY 2016 (% of All Vendor Payments)¹⁹³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nursing Facilities</td>
<td>$296,505,175 (20.7%)</td>
<td>$299,071,686 (19.0%)</td>
<td>$327,126,435 (16.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Care Facilities for Individuals with Developmental Disabilities</td>
<td>$60,405,286 (4.2%)</td>
<td>$20,835,763 (1.3%)</td>
<td>$72,237,288 (3.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Health</td>
<td>$33,061,309 (2.3%)</td>
<td>$33,342,386 (2.1%)</td>
<td>$32,465,765 (1.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elderly &amp; Disabled Waiver</td>
<td>$181,023,377 for all waiver services (12.7%)</td>
<td>$264,213,708 for all waiver services (16.8%)</td>
<td>$295,921,703 (15.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental Disabilities Waiver</td>
<td>$181,023,377 for all waiver services (12.7%)</td>
<td>$264,213,708 for all waiver services (16.8%)</td>
<td>$295,921,703 (15.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Health (Managed Care) Capitation</td>
<td>$71,390,928 (5.0%)</td>
<td>$214,489,458 (13.6%)</td>
<td>$572,990,594 (29.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral Health (Managed Care) Capitation</td>
<td>$65,586,302 (4.6%)</td>
<td>$64,372,773 (4.1%)</td>
<td>$126,495,296 (6.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs</td>
<td>$201,624,584 (14.1%)</td>
<td>$154,170,704 (9.8%)</td>
<td>$183,651,235 (9.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient Hospital</td>
<td>$78,042,936 (5.5%)</td>
<td>$88,482,566 (5.6%)</td>
<td>$40,905,028 (2.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient Hospital</td>
<td>$207,588,587 (14.5%)</td>
<td>$215,500,169 (13.7%)</td>
<td>$94,014,893 (4.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental</td>
<td>$33,061,309 (2.3%)</td>
<td>$38,880,934 (2.5%)</td>
<td>$45,041,028 (2.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physicians, Practitioners, &amp; Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment</td>
<td>$136,112,935 (9.5%)</td>
<td>$125,141,069 (7.9%)</td>
<td>$47,376,077 (2.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$66,555,942 (4.7%)</td>
<td>$57,345,968 (3.6%)</td>
<td>$38,141,415 (1.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Vendor Payments</td>
<td>$1,430,907,617</td>
<td>$1,575,847,184</td>
<td>$1,966,861,566</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


¹⁹⁴ DHHS tabulated vendor payments with one category for all waiver services in the 2006 and 2011 Annual Medicaid reports, shifting to including the Elderly and Disabled Waiver and the Developmental Disabilities Waiver as distinct categories for this calculation in 2016. A&D and DD Waiver expenditures for 2006 and 2011 are delineated in the Long-Term Care Services expenditures chart in this primer.
### Appendix D: Medicaid Expenditures on Long-term Care Services in SFY 2006, SFY 2011, and SFY 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Total Spending in SFY 2006 (% of all LTC Vendor Payments)</th>
<th>Total Spending in SFY 2011 (% of all LTC Vendor Payments)</th>
<th>Total Spending in SFY 2016 (% of all LTC Vendor Payments)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nursing Facility</td>
<td>$296,505,175 (52%)</td>
<td>$299,071,686 (49%)</td>
<td>$327,126,435 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Care Facilities for Individuals with Developmental Disabilities</td>
<td>$60,405,286 (11%)</td>
<td>$20,835,763 (3%)</td>
<td>$72,237,288 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental Disability Waivers</td>
<td>$128,025,031 (22%)</td>
<td>$195,331,272 (32%)</td>
<td>$295,921,703 (36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elderly &amp; Disabled Waiver</td>
<td>$27,457,540 (5%)</td>
<td>$38,691,623 (6%)</td>
<td>$90,494,809 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Health/Personal Assistance Services</td>
<td>$33,061,309 (6%)</td>
<td>$33,342,386 (5%)</td>
<td>$32,465,765 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiver Assisted Living</td>
<td>$25,540,808 (4%)</td>
<td>$30,190,813 (5%)</td>
<td>$31,030,872 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Vendor Payments for LTC</td>
<td>$570,995,147</td>
<td>$617,463,543</td>
<td>$818,246,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Appendix E: Federally Designated Primary Care Shortage Areas as of January 9, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Primary Care Shortage Status</th>
<th>Counties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Federal Shortage Designation</td>
<td>Box Butte, Buffalo, Cherry, Cheyenne, Dawes, Dawson, Franklin, Gage, Garden, Hamilton, Kearney, Keith, Kimball, Lincoln, Morrill, Otoe, Perkins, Phelps, Red Willow, Richardson, Seward, Washington, York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portion of County Classified as Medically Underserved Area</td>
<td>Burt, Butler, Cass, Colfax, Cuming, Custer, Douglas, Harlan, Howard, Jefferson, Johnson, Lancaster, Merrick, Nance, Nemaha, Pierce, Platte, Sarpy, Saunders, Sheridan, Thayer, Valley, Wayne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counties with Medically Underserved Populations</td>
<td>Adams, Dodge, Hall, Madison, Sarpy, Scotts Bluff</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Appendix F: Nebraska Counties Growth Trend in Medicaid Providers SFY 2011-SFY 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counties</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid providers in SFY 2016 exceeded Medicaid providers in SFY 2011</td>
<td>Antelope, Blaine, Box Butte, Boyd, Chase, Cherry, Cheyenne, Cuming, Dixon, Garden, Garfield, Grant, Holt, Jefferson, Keith, Keya Paha, McPherson, Nance, Nemaha, Nuckolls, Otoe, Perkins, Pierce, Sarpy, Sheridan, Wheeler</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Data received directly from DHHS, November 2017
## Appendix G: Growth trend in Nebraska’s Medicaid Providers by Provider Type SFY 2011-SFY 2016

### Provider Type

| Medicaid Provider Type Experienced Growth Between 2011 and 2016 | Community Support MRO Program, Day Rehabilitation MRO Program, Dispensing Physician, Dentist, Chiropractor, Podiatrist, Osteopath, Federally Qualified Health Center, Free Standing Birth Center, Hospice, Indian Health Hospital Clinic, Lab, Dental Hygienist, Drug and Alcohol Counselor, Licensed Independent Mental Health Practitioner, Licensed Medical Nutrition Therapist, Licensed Psychologist, Mental Health Home Health Care Provider, Mental Health Personal Care Aide, Mental Health Professional, Nurse Midwife, Nurse Practitioner, Nursing Homes, Optometrists, Orthopedic Device Supplier, Other Prepaid Health Plan, Pharmacist, Pharmacy, Physician Assistant, Physicians, Professional Clinic, Professional Resource Family Care, Provisionally Licensed Drug & Alcohol Counselors, Provisionally Licensed PHD-PPHD, Psychiatric Residential Treatment Facility, Qualified Health Maintenance Organization, Registered Physical Therapist, NOFCUS Providers, Rural Health Clinic-Provider Based (Fewer than 50 Beds), Psychology Resident, Tribal 638 Clinic |
| Medicaid Provider Type Experienced Decline in numbers Between 2011 and 2016 | Adult Substance Abuse Provider, Ambulatory Surgical Center, Anesthesiologist, Assertive Community Treatment MRO Program, Clinic, Day Treatment Provider, Hearing Aid Dealer, Home Health Agency, Hospitals, Licensed Mental Health Practitioner, Licensed Practical Nurse, Occupational Therapy Health Services, Optical Supplier, Registered Nurse, Rental and Retail Supplier, Residential Treatment Center, Rural Health Clinic-Independent, Rural Health Clinic-Provider Based (More than 50 Beds), Speech Therapy Health Service, Substance Abuse Treatment Center, Therapeutic Group Home, Transportation, Treatment Foster Care |

Source: Data received directly from DHHS, November 2017
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